THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 27507 of 2005

DATED: 26.12.2005

Between:

Mr.A.Sreerami Reddy.

... PETITIONER

AND

The Regional Transport Authority and another.

... RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The Tractor and Trailer of the petitioner bearing Registration Nos. AP.21 X 1829 and AP 21 V 1086 was seized by the 2nd respondent, through Vehicle Check Report, dated 10.12.2005, on the ground that the petitioner failed to produce the necessary documents in relation to it. The petitioner challenges the seizure in this writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Transport.

A perusal of the Vehicle Check Report, dated 10.12.2005, discloses that the petitioner did not produce R.C., I.C., permit, proof of payment of tax, and P.U.C. Certain other lapses were also pointed out. The liability of the petitioner

in the context of these observations needs to be established in the proceedings to be initiated under the relevant provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act. If the vehicle is detained and kept in the custody of the 2nd respondent, till such proceedings take place, not only the petitioner would be put to irreparable loss, but also the vehicle would be exposed to the danger of theft and damage. The interest of the State can be protected by imposing necessary conditions while permitting the petitioner to keep the custody of the vehicle.

For the foregoing reasons, the writ petition is disposed of, directing that;

a. the Tractor and Trailer of the petitioner bearing Registration Nos.AP 21 X 1829 and AP 21 V 1086, shall be released to him, on his depositing a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only);

b. the petitioner shall also furnish an undertaking to the effect that he shall not alienate the vehicle till the proceedings in pursuance of the Check Report are finalized and that he shall produce the vehicle as and when required; and

c. the petitioner shall be entitled to ply the vehicle, if only it is covered by the necessary documents.

d. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

26.12.2005

Jsu